



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office-Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,471	12/14/2001	Ching-Hsing Huang	3313-0443P-SP	1066	
2292	7590 08/26/2003		•		
	WART KOLASCH &	& BIRCH	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			SMITH, JULIE KNECHT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
		DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
٠,			10/014,47		HUANG ET AL.			
	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	è		Julie K Sn		3682			
	The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication						
Peri d fo	or Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)⊠	Resnonsi	ve to communication(s) filed on	06 June 2003					
2a)[	·		This action is					
3)		,			osecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.						
		are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	equirement.				
	on Papers	Salian is abisated to butter Francis						
	·	cation is objected to by the Exam			house for all the			
10)[		g(s) filed on <u>14 December 2001</u>		•	•			
11) 🗔 -		may not request that any objection to						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
		S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
			reian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
,		fied copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
_a	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic	e of Reference e of Draftspers	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/014,471

Art Unit: 3682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4 and 6-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cordova et al. (5,540,504) in view of Lee et al. (6,071,014).

Regarding claims 1 and 6-7, Cordova et al. discloses a hydrodynamic bearing (see fig. 3) comprising a sealed housing containing a lubricant, a cylinder-shaped bushing (7) placed in the housing having a plurality of dynamic pressure generating herringbone grooves (20) being penetrated for storing the lubricant, and a shaft (3) rotatably installed in the bushing, wherein the lubricant produces hydrodynamic pressure between the grooves and the shaft, when the shaft rotates relative to the bushing.

Although Cordova et al. dos not disclose the bearing being a hybrid bearing, Lee et al. teaches a hybrid bearing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the hydrodynamic bearing of Cordova et al. in combination with a hydrostatic bearing, as taught by Lee et al. as hybrid bearings are old and well known in the art and are commonly used in motor spindle applications.

Regarding claims 3-4, Cordova et al. discloses a pre-pressure being applied to the sealed housing for making the lubricant pass through the grooves and be present between the bushing

Application/Control Number: 10/014,471 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

and the shaft. The pre-pressure is created during the manufacturing process during the shrinkfitting process of the bushing.

3. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cordova et al. in view of Lee et al. as applied to claims 1, 3-4 and 6-7 above, and further in view of Mori et al. (6,023,114).

Regarding claim 2, the reference combination set forth above discloses a hybrid bearing as claimed, but is silent as to the housing comprising a porous material for storing lubricant.

However, Mori et al. teaches a housing for a bearing having a porous material for storing lubricant.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the housing of Cordova et al. with the teachings of Mori et al. to have a porous housing so as to more evenly distribute the lubricant over the length of the bushing and shaft.

Regarding claim 5, Cordova et al. discloses a bearing with a sealed housing, but does not disclose the housing sealed with glue. However, Mori et al. teaches a housing containing a lubricant with a seal made from a synthetic resin.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seal of Cordova et al. with the teachings of Mori et al. to be made from a glue as it is old and well known in the art to use glue as a sealant.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/014,471 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-7 have

been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

5,634,724 to Zang et al.

6,097,121 to Oku

4,805,972 to Tanaka et al.

5,503,478 to Blaine

5,052,823 to Adolfsson et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-5:30, (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

بال iks

August 14, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600